### Low-Power System Design

227-0781-00L Fall Semester 2019 Jan Beutel



# Plan for Today

- MAC Layer Techniques
  - Contention-based Rendezvous Bootstrapping: LPL/LPP
  - Dedicated acknowledgements, multiple channels: A-MAC
  - Arbitration using controlled collisions: StrawMan
  - Distributed scheduling: DOZER
  - Constructive interference: A-MAC
  - Network Flooding: GLOSSY
- Present metrics used for performance analysis





### MAC LAYER TECHNIQUES – CONTENTION-BASED RENDEZVOUS

Networked Embedded Systems

# Simple Sender-Initiated MAC

- Sender triggers communications by transmitting data
- Receiver is listening





# Simple Receiver-Initiated MAC

- Receiver triggers exchange by transmitting a probe
- Sender receives probe and sends data
- Low-power probing (LPP)





## MAC Layer Decision: Stay awake or go to sleep?

Sender-Initiated: Channel Sampling



**Receiver-Initiated: Channel Probing** 



### **Optimizing LPL: Shorter Preamble Sampling**

- Bookkeeping to avoid sending out long preambles
  - Maintaining the phase offset (clock) to selected neighbors
  - Start transmitting a message just before receiver wakes
  - Synchronized transmit/receive
  - Piggybacking of local phase offset on ACKs of the underlying CSMA protocol
- Benefits
  - WiseMAC is able to squeeze out up to 80% (20 out of 25 ms) of TX cost and up to 67% (10 out of 15ms) of RX costs
  - Shortening the preambles also reduces overhearing by nodes other than the sender/receiver pair





### Receiver vs. Sender-initiated Tradeoff

- Receiver-initiated Pro's
  - Handle hidden terminals better than sender-initiated ones
  - Support asynchronous communication w/o long-preambles
  - Support extremely low duty cycles or high data rates



- Receiver-initiated Con's
  - Probe (LPP) is more expensive than channel sample (LPL)
    - Baseline power is higher
  - Frequent probe transmissions
    - Could congest channel & increase latency
    - Could disrupt ongoing communications
    - Channel usage scales with node density rather than traffic

# Scaling to Larger Networks



Example Receiver-Initiated: Channel Probing

Networked Embedded Systems

### MAC LAYER TECHNIQUES – DEDICATED ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS, MULTIPLE CHANNELS

### 802.15.4 Receiver-Initiated Link Layer

*Is it possible to design a general-purpose, yet <u>efficient</u>, receiverinitiated link layer?* 



#### A-MAC

Dutta, P., Dawson-Haggerty, S., Chen, Y., Liang, C.-J. M., & Terzis, A.. Design and evaluation of a versatile and efficient receiver-initiated link layer for low-power wireless. *Proceedings of the 8th ACM Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems - SenSys '10.* 

### A-Mac Parallel Multichannel Data Transfers

- Arbitration using signaling in preambles (like Dozer!)
- Selective scheduling of data-senders
- Use control, data (1), and data (2) channels



### A-MAC Offers Modest Incast Performance



MAC	No. of Senders	Packet Delivery Ratio		
		Avg	Min	Max
RI-MAC	1	99.9%		
	2	97.5%	97.3%	97.7%
	3	95.6%	95.0%	96.8%
	[ 4] →	90.7%	90.3%	90.9%
A-MAC	1	99.9%		
	2	99.3%	98.2%	100%
	3	99.3%	98.3%	99.5%
	[ 4] →	98.5%	96.7%	99.5%

**H**zürich

## A-MAC Network Wakeup

• Wakes up the network faster and more efficiently than LPL (Flash) flooding



### A-MAC Works Well at Low Duty Cycles



### A-MAC Beats LPL/CTP Combinations



	LPL	A-MAC
Average Duty Cycle	6.36%	4.44%
Average Packet Delivery Ratio	95.1%	99.7%
Average Hop Count	7.34	4.85
Maximum Hop Count	14	13

Networked Embedded Systems

### MAC LAYER TECHNIQUES – ARBITRATION USING CONTROLLED COLLISIONS

# Collision Arbitration with StrawMan



- Multi-channel operation
  - Initial probe at pre-determined channel
  - Rest of communication at the other channel

Österlind, F., Mottola, L., Voigt, T., Tsiftes, N., & Dunkels, A. (2012). Strawman: Resolving Collisions in Bursty Low-Power Wireless Networks. In *IPSN '12* (p. 161). New York, New York, USA: ACM Press.

### StrawMan Performance

- Contiki + Tmote Sky
- **RI-MAC** 
  - Version 1: Strawman + multi-channel operation
  - Version 2: random backoff (geometric distribution)
- Transmissions of COLLISION packets are synchronized
  - Receiver knows exactly when they occur
- Max COLLISION packets length is fixed ullet



### StrawMan: Goodput and Fairness



### StrawMan: Reacting to Sudden Traffic Bursts

- 1-hop network with 8 nodes
  - Measuring the resulting goodput
  - Always contend
- Vary number of active contenders every 10s



# StrawMan: Multi-hop Data Collection

- 82 nodes in the TWIST testbed
  - Multi-hop topologies (at least 4 hops)
  - Contiki Collect protocol
- Traffic patterns
  - No traffic (NT)
  - Periodic traffic (PT): 1 pkt every 5 minutes
  - Bursty traffic (BT):
    - Instantaneously generate 1 pkt on 8 randomly-selected nodes

	RI-MAC +	RI-MAC
	Strawman	
NT radio duty cycle (%)	0.34	0.40
PT radio duty cycle (%)	3.94	4.40
BT radio on-time (sec)	4.53	8.16



### MAC LAYER TECHNIQUES – DISTRIBUTED SCHEDULING



# Hybrid Protocol Schemes

- Dozer ultra low-power data gathering system
  - Beacon based, 1-hop synchronized TDMA
  - Tree-based routing towards a sink
  - Optimized for ultra-low duty cycles
  - -0.167% duty-cycle, 0.032mA (@ 30sec beacons)
- Application is integrated with the protocol
  - Dynamic adaptation
  - Back-off randomization for diversity
  - Jitter adaptation over multiple hops
  - Adaptive duty-cycle accounts for long-term loss of connectivity



[Burri, N., von Rickenbach, P., & Wattenhofer, R. (2007). Dozer: Ultra-Low Power Data Gathering in Sensor Networks. 2007 6th International Symposium on Information Processing in Sensor Networks, 450–459.]



# Dozer System

- Tree based routing towards data sink
  - No energy wastage due to multiple paths
  - Current strategy: SPT
- TDMA based link scheduling
  - Each node has two independent schedules
  - No global time synchronization



# Dozer System

- Parent decides on its children data upload times
  - Each interval is divided into upload slots of equal length
  - Upon connecting each child gets its own slot
  - Data transmissions are always ack'ed
- No traditional MAC layer
  - Transmissions happen at exactly predetermined point in time
  - Collisions are explicitly accepted
  - Random jitter resolves schedule collisions

slot 1

data transfer

slot 2



### **Dozer Scheduled Data Transfers**



### **Graceful Degradation & Effective Retries**

- Configurable beacon synchronization timeouts
  - Typically 3-5 retries
- Adaptive scanning activity
  - Reduction on intermittent loss of connectivity
  - Energy savings on bootstrapping and longer network failures





## MAC LAYER TECHNIQUES – CONSTRUCTIVE INTERFERENCE

Low-Power System Design

# Wireless Interference

• Spatially close wireless stations transmit signals at the same time and with the same frequency

Stations A, B, and C transmit signals to a common receiver R

- Destructive interference
  - Interference generally reduces the probability that a receiver correctly detects the information
- Constructive interference
  - A receiver detects with high probability the superposition of the signals generated by multiple transmitters

### IEEE 802.15.4 Uses DSSS Modulation



# IEEE 802.15.4 Modulation

- 1 Byte is divided into 2x 4-Bit Symbols
- Each Symbol is mapped to a pseudo-random noise (PN) sequence with 32 chips (2 MChips/sec)
- Offset-Quadrature Phase Shift Keying (O-QPSK) with half-sine chip shaping (equivalent to MSK modulation)



• PN sequences introduce randomization and redundancy

# IEEE 802.15.4 Modulation Scheme

- IEEE 802.15.4: standard for 2,450 MHz wireless radios
- A 3-step process converts binary data to a baseband signal



• In-phase and quadrature-phase components of the baseband signal determine the phase of the transmitted RF signal

### Half-Sine O-QPSK Modulation: Example



- Data rate:  $1/T_c$  chip/s = 2 Mchip/s = 62.5 ksymbol/s = 250 kbps
- The information carried by each chip generates a complete phase change of the RF signal every 0.5  $\mu s$

# Synchronous Transmissions

 Multiple nodes transmit same packet at same time Ferrari, F. and Zimmerling, M. and Thiele, L. and Saukh, O. (2011). Efficient Network Flooding and Time Synchronization with Glossy. In *10th International Conference on Information Processing in Sensor Networks (IPSN 2011)* (pp. 73–84).



- R receives packet with high probability if  $\Delta \le 0.5 \ \mu s$
- Property exploited also in A-MAC [Dutta et al., SenSys '10]

### Synchronized Transmission with Backcast

- A link-layer frame exchange in which:
  - A single radio PROBE frame transmission
  - Triggers zero or more *identical* ACK frames
  - Transmitted with tight timing tolerance
  - So there is minimum inter-symbol interference
  - And ACKs collide <u>non-destructively</u> at the receiver





P. Dutta, R. Musaloiu-E., I. Stoica, A. Terzis, "Wireless ACK Collisions Not Considered Harmful", HotNets-VII, October, 2008, Alberta, BC, Canada

# A-MAC's Contention Mechanism





Networked Embedded Systems

### ALL-TO-ALL NETWORK FLOODING: GLOSSY



# Increasing Reliability: Glossy Floods

- Main objectives
  - Fast and reliable flooding of messages
  - Accurate global time synchronization
  - Hide complexity of multi-hop networks
- Challenge in multi-hop wireless networks
  - Uncoordinated transmissions, packet loss, retransmission delays
- Glossy: Flooding architecture for wireless sensor networks
  - Fastest possible propagation, by design
  - Highly reliable (> 99.99 %)
  - Requires no network state information
  - Efficient also in dense networks
  - Time synchronization at no additional cost

Ferrari, F. and Zimmerling, M. and Thiele, L. and Saukh, O. (2011). Efficient Network Flooding and Time Synchronization with Glossy. In *10th International Conference on Information Processing in Sensor Networks (IPSN 2011)* (pp. 73–84).

# **Glossy: Key Techniques**

• **Temporally decouple** network flooding from application tasks



• Exploit synchronous transmissions for fast network flooding



# Synchronous Transmissions

• Multiple nodes transmit same packet at same time



- R receives packet with high probability if  $\Delta \le 0.5 \ \mu s$
- Property exploited also in A-MAC [Dutta et al., SenSys '10]



# **Challenges for Efficient Flooding**

How to relay packets **efficiently** and **reliably**?

- Avoid aggressive, uncoordinated broadcasts
- Typical approach: Coordinate packet transmissions
  - CF [Zhu et al., NSDI 2010]
  - RBP [Stann et al., SenSys 2006]
  - Maintain topology-dependent state



# **Glossy Flooding Architecture**

- All receiving nodes relay packets synchronously
  - Simple, but radically different solution
  - No explicit routing
  - No topology-dependent state
- Key Glossy mechanisms
  - Start execution at the same time
  - Compensate for hardware variations
  - Ensure deterministic execution timing



















When Glossy starts:

• Turn on radio



Initiator:

- Set relay counter c = 0
- Transmit packet



At packet reception:

Initiator

- Increment relay counter c
- Transmit synchronously



Receivers

#### Timeline



At packet reception:

- Increment relay counter c
- Transmit synchronously



#### Timeline



Stop and turn off radio when:

• Already transmitted N times



- $T_{slot}$  is constant by design
- Local estimates of  $T_{slot}$ Received relay counter c Reference time  $t_{ref}$
- *t<sub>ref</sub>* provides synchronized time



# **Propagation in Glossy**

- A relay counter c is set to 0 at the first transmission
- A node increments *c* before relaying the packet





# Time synchronization in Glossy

- Estimate the **relay length** during propagation
- Compute a common **reference time**





# **Glossy: Main Evaluation Findings**

• A few ms to flood packets to hundreds of nodes

• Reliability > 99.99 % in most scenarios

Synchronization error < 1 μs even after 8 hops</li>



# Evaluation of Glossy on FlockLab

- Multi-modal monitoring at network scale
- Flooding protocol (Glossy)
  - Packet transmissions overlap
- Power
  - Find current consumption for each state
  - Expected behavior?
- Activity
  - Packet exchange



# Today's Hot Researcher & Paper

- David Culler
  - Faculty at UC Berkeley
- (Distributed) systems background
  - Many well-known systems implementations
  - Founder of TinyOS initiative
  - Drove first large-scale WSN applications (habitat monitoring)



• Now focusing on sustainable energy use (buildings)

J Hill, R Szewczyk, A Woo, S Hollar, D Culler, K Pister: *System architecture directions for networked sensors.* ACM SIGOPS operating systems review 34 (5), 93-104

# Recap of Today

- Networked Embedded Systems focus on cross-layer solutions
  - No strict division across interfaces (like OSI model)
- (Temporal) Co-ordination helps a lot
- Most protocols employ a mix of stochastic elements (contention) and schedule based elements
- State-of-the-Art protocols allow reliable communication at very little energy cost

